Endodontic-access adhesive bridges


Abstract – Acid-etch retained appliances have a role in the management of dental trauma, both as splints and for the replacement of missing teeth. Two clinical cases are described. In the first, a tooth with a fractured root is used as an abutment for a bridge that replaces an avulsed tooth. The metalwork is modified with a “window” to facilitate endodontic therapy should this become necessary in the future. In the second case, an etched splint with a “window” supports a tooth of poor prognosis while an endodontic implant is placed and healing occurs. Further designs are considered for applications in similar circumstances.

A resin-bonded perforated cast metal appliance for splinting periodontally involved teeth was described by Rochette in 1973 (1). The attachment of the flanges to etched tooth enamel was by mechanical interlock of resin extruded into the perforations. With pontics added, the “Rochette” bridge became a widely used restoration for the replacement of missing teeth (2). Initially it was thought that by increasing the number of perforations, and by countersinking them, the retention of the appliance would be increased. More recent work suggests (3) that there is no relationship between the size and shape of the holes in the metal framework and its retention to tooth structure.

Some later designs of Rochette bridges have window-frame patterns which are easily constructed using preformed wax rods (4).

In 1981 a method of electrolytically etching cast metal surfaces was described, and non-perforated appliances made by this method are termed “Maryland” bridges. The resin bond is over a larger area and is less prone to absorption and leakage. The minimal tooth preparation and splinting and tooth replacement possibilities render them useful in the management of dental trauma.

In 1974 Burrell & Goldberg (5) described the vented endodontic-access crown. This full coverage restoration was suggested for extensively restored teeth of poor pulpal prognosis. An “access cavity”, as part of the casting, simplified endodontic treatment if this proved necessary at a later date. This report describes two adhesive bridges with splinting or prosthetic function that were designed with vented retentive flanges to facilitate endodontic procedures.

Case one

A 23-year old female came to the Manchester Dental Hospital, having lost a tooth earlier that day in a motorcycle accident. On examination, the maxillary right central incisor was absent and the left central incisor was slightly displaced palatally. Both the right lateral incisor and the left central incisor featured mesial incisal edge fractures into dentine. Radiographs revealed an empty socket in the right central incisor site, and a middle third root fracture of the left central incisor.

Immediate treatment involved:
1. Relocation of the left central incisor under local anaesthesia.
2. Calcium hydroxide (Dycal®, LD Caulk Co., Milford, DE, USA) placed over exposed dentine and protected with polycarboxylate as dressings for the fractured teeth.
3. Impressions for the construction of an acrylic partial denture retained by Adams’ cribs to replace the lost right central incisor. The left central incisor remained stable during this procedure.

When examined 1 month later, the left central incisor responded to pulp tests but was tender if pressure was applied. The tooth was splinted using orthodontic wire and acid-etch composite. Radiographs showed no abnormal pathology and the splint was removed (Fig. 1). The tooth was firm, pain-free and continued to respond to pulp tests. The right central incisor socket had healed and the partial denture had become unretentive. It was decided that it should be replaced as soon as possible with a fixed prosthesis.
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Nevertheless, a conventional fixed bridge was contraindicated because of the status of the potential abutments. Although root fractures in themselves have recently been shown to have little influence on continued pulp sensitivity (6), Andreasen & Hjorting-Hansen noted signs of pulpal necrosis in almost half of a series of 50 traumatized teeth that featured fractured roots (7). It was therefore considered prudent to provide a prosthesis to facilitate access to the upper left central incisor should pulpal necrosis occur. A Maryland bridge was considered, with a perforated retentive flange on the left central incisor to allow a future access cavity to be cut directly into tooth substance, as cutting through a metal framework can damage the bond by vibration or thermal damage to the resin. The damaged incisal edges were restored with composite and impressions for the bridge were taken. The entire fitting surface of the bridge, including the periphery of the perforated flange, was electrolytically etched.

Mirror and labial views show the bridge, which has proved comfortable, functional and aesthetically pleasing (Figs. 2 and 3). One year after the accident the left central incisor continues to respond normally and is under regular clinical and radiographic review.

Case two

A 42-year-old male patient was referred to the Manchester Dental Hospital regarding the management of the maxillary right central incisor, which had suffered a root fracture 30 years previously in a school playground accident. The tooth had received immediate post-trauma treatment at this Hospital, which had involved a period of splinting. It had remained symptom-free but slightly mobile until the time of referral.

On this most recent occasion, the upper anterior teeth were of normal colour and sensitive to electric pulp tests. The gingival condition was good, but multiple sinuses were noted 4 mm apical to the gingival margin of the right central incisor labially, and a palatal sinus was also present. Periapical radiographs revealed a horizontal root fracture in the middle third of the right central incisor, with marked bone loss adjacent to the fracture line (Fig. 4).
During this procedure some difficulty was encountered with the reamers binding on the incisal bar of the splint window. It was not practicable to adjust the metalwork at this stage. In due course a matched Vitallium implant was cemented in place with Tubliseal® (Kerr, Romulus, MI, USA), with the terminal end of the implant engaging in alveolar bone.

Removal of the non-vital apical fragment and placement of an endodontic implant was suggested in the hope of conserving the tooth for this highly motivated patient. This surgical endodontic approach was decided upon as it would: 1) allow removal of the non-vital apical fragment; 2) splint the mobile coronal portion of the tooth; and 3) give access to any pathological material present adjacent to the fracture site.

A splint would be required to support the mobile coronal portion, both during the surgical procedure and postoperatively while bone regeneration occurred. There was sufficient palatal occlusal clearance for Maryland metalwork, which would avoid the aesthetic disadvantages of a composite and wire splint. A windowed design was made with supporting flanges on the right lateral incisor and the left central incisor and cemented with a composite adhesive bridge cement (ABC Cement, Vivadent, Liechtenstein) (Fig. 5).

Under local anaesthesia, a full mucoperiosteal flap was raised and the fractured apical root fragment removed. The root face was smoothed with burs and the canal subsequently reamed, the reamer passing beyond the foramen on the root face.
Following the closure of the wound, a partial debonding of the splint was repaired with a 2-paste composite. A radiograph taken 5 weeks postoperatively suggested that bony healing was taking place (Fig. 6).

There are many conflicting reports concerning the ideal materials and success rates of endodontic implants (8). Five-year success rates over 90% have been reported, although most writers consider the procedure to be experimental and one of "buying time" (8–10). In the case reported it is hoped that in due course the splint may be removed and the tooth can remain as a healthy functioning unit.

Conclusions

The advantages of etched cast restorations as prosthetic and splinting devices for young adults and older patients can be retained while allowing access for endodontic procedures on abutting teeth. Experience with the second case suggested the incisal bar, if omitted, would further improve instrument access, although retention from resin extrusion into the perforation would then be lost. It is not known whether the remaining U-shaped piece of etched cast framework would provide adequate retention. In the case of non-vital teeth, extra retention could be achieved using suitably placed parallel pins as an integral part of the casting. Clinical studies of such modifications are in progress, and their long-term value in the treatment of traumatized patients is being evaluated.
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