cannot definitely be recommended despite the carrot of a more physiological action profile. Apart from the practical problems of assessing the depth of subcutaneous tissue in a large number of patients—and the provision of a range of needle lengths—longer term studies are needed on blood glucose control, the relative rate of hypoglycaemia, effects of exercise, and patient acceptability. Until such data are available it may perhaps be wise to recommend that soluble insulin is also injected at an angle into a skin fold or to provide shorter needles of 3-5 mm.
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Emergency treatment of avulsed incisor teeth

Is simple but it needs to be prompt

The fall in the prevalence of dental caries in the past decade has been mirrored by an increase in the number of children and young adults with injuries to their teeth. A greater understanding of the factors affecting the prognosis after dental injuries, together with improvements in restorative materials and techniques, has led to many teeth being saved that once would have been lost. In the case of completely avulsed teeth, however, prompt action is needed to preserve and reposition a tooth if it is to be successfully reimplanted. This task often falls to casualty officers.

An avulsed tooth should be reimplanted within its socket as soon as possible and then stabilised until the gingival and periodontal tissues can be repaired. After an hour outside the socket a tooth that has been allowed to get dry has a poor chance of successful reimplantation because the cells on the root surface that are necessary for reattachment start to die. If immediate reimplantation is not possible the prognosis can be improved by careful storage. For up to an hour the vitality of the cement can be maintained by wrapping the tooth in plastic foil. For longer than that cell viability can be sustained by storing the tooth in milk, which has an osmolarity and pH (230-270 mmol/kg and 6-6) compatible with cell survival.

Reimplantation is carried out by rinsing the tooth gently in saline and placing it in the socket, taking care to handle it by the crown. Gentle pressure will allow the tooth to be seated in the socket without pain. If shown how, many children will replant the tooth themselves. Temporary stabilisation with aluminium foil or with histoacryl tissue adhesive, as described by McCabe on p 20, will hold the tooth in place until definitive dental treatment can be given. Avulsed teeth need to remain splinted for about 14-21 days.

The major cause of tooth loss after reimplantation is resorption of the tooth root accompanied by inflammation or ankylosis. The tooth socket wall is continually being remodelled in response to functional demands, and the cement on the root surface tends to thicken with age. After avulsion pathological resorption often occurs on the root surface, the cement being replaced by granulation tissue or bone. Under ideal conditions, when injury to the root surface is minimal, repair of the periodontal membrane may occur within 14 days. The state of the pulp is also critical in root resorption, and in young children whose roots are not fully developed early reimplantation enables the pulp to recover.

The prognosis is improved by using ampicillin after reimplantation as control of bacterial invasion into the injured tissues will prevent inflammatory resorption. Gingival healing is also important, so 2% chlorhexidine mouth rinse should be used twice or three times daily to reduce the accumulation of plaque. The patient may also need an antibiotic toxoid booster, particularly if the injury occurred out of doors. Finally, the patient, with his or her replanted and stabilised tooth, should be referred to a dentist for further care as soon as possible.
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Correction

Late onset asthma

A printer’s error occurred in this editorial by Mr Jon G Ayres (23 June, p 1602). In the eighth line of the fifth paragraph the drugs referred to are β blockers and not Hgb blockers as published.