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Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHERSOEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) ☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 August 2007.
2a) ☑ This action is FINAL.
2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) ☑ Claim(s) 1,5-7,11-13 and 17-23 is/are pending in the application.
   4a) Of the above claim(s) 19-23 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
6) ☑ Claim(s) 1,5-7,11-13,17 and 18 is/are rejected.
7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 23 September 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.

   Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
   Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
   a) ☑ All  b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
   1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
   2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
   3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

   * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
   Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
   Paper No(s)/Mail Date, _____.
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) ☐ Other: _____.
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DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant’s arguments filed August 31, 2007, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

2. Claims 1, 5-7, 11-13, and 17-18 are pending and have been examined. Claims 19-23 have been withdrawn from consideration. Claims 2-4, 8-10, and 14-16 have been cancelled.

Response to Amendment

3. The objection to claims 1-6 is withdrawn.

4. The rejection of claims 7-12 under 35 USC 101 is withdrawn.

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the prior art have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1, 5-7, 11-13, and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kikinis (US Patent 6,289,389).

Regarding claims 1, 7, and 13, Kikinis teaches

- a method for requesting and securely receiving data from the Internet

(abstract), said method comprising the steps of:
receiving a request for data (col. 1, lines 5-10, data requested by a user);

collecting data in response to said request (col. 1, lines 5-10, data gathering site);

packetizing said collected data into at least two sets of data packets (col. 6, lines 30-47, encrypted data and decryption key),

wherein a first set of data packets comprises encrypted data (col. 6, lines 30-47, encrypted data) and a second set of data packets comprises a key for decoding said encrypted data (col. 6, lines 30-47, decryption key);

selecting and addressing a first set of data packets for transmission via the Internet (col. 6, lines 30-47, through modem);

selecting and addressing a second set of data packets for transmission via a satellite delivery system (col. 6, lines 30-47, through digital link to satellite);

transmitting said first set of data packets via the Internet (col. 6, lines 30-47, through modem); and

transmitting said second set of data packets via said satellite delivery system (col. 6, lines 30-47, through digital link to satellite).

Regarding claims 5, 11, and 17, Kikinis teaches wherein said satellite delivery system is comprised of a network processing center with an associated provider
antenna and at least one subscriber terminal with an associated subscriber antenna (fig. 1, 23, 45).

Regarding claims 6, 12, and 18, Kikinis teaches wherein said satellite delivery system further comprises a satellite (fig. 1, 37).

**Conclusion**

8. **Examiner’s Note:** Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims below for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested that the applicant, in preparing the responses, fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

9. Applicant’s amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any
extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of
the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later
than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to David Garcia Cervetti whose telephone number is
(571)272-5861. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday and
Thursday-Friday.
11. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's
supervisor, Nasser Moazzami can be reached on (571)272-4195. The fax phone
number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-
273-8300.
12. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the
Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for
published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.
Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.
For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should
you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic
Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a
USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information
system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/David Garcia Cervetti/